

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE
Docket No. 2015-06**

Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility for the Construction of a New High Voltage Transmission Line in New Hampshire

ASHLAND-DEERFIELD NON-ABUTTERS' NOTICE OF CROSS-EXAMINATION

The Ashland-Deerfield Non-Abutter Interveners, hereby submit the following list notifying the Subcommittee of the Site Evaluation Committee its notice of cross-examination in accordance with the Order dated September 12, 2017 ("Order"). By submitting this list, the Ashland-Deerfield Non-Abutter Interveners do not waive any procedural due process arguments, the right to seek a rehearing and/or to otherwise seek review of the Order in accordance with the law. The Ashland-Deerfield Non-Abutter Interveners further do not waive the right to add and/or or remove the areas of questions referenced in the foregoing list to the extent there are developments in the record as of the date of the filing of this notice and/or it is determined during preparation or the examination of witnesses that additional areas of questions are necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts.

WITNESS PANEL	ADVERSE/ NON- ADVERSE	AREA OF QUESTIONS AND OFFER OF PROOF
COUNSEL FOR THE PUBLIC		
Construction Panel: Adam Zysk, Brenden Alexander David L. Taylor, Jr., Earle C.(Rusty) Bascom	Non-Adverse	Construction Disruptions –Overhead Operation Disruptions –Overhead Construction Design –Overhead <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by</i>

		<p><i>addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Local Economic Benefits Panel</u> Dr. Nicolas O. Rockler, Thomas E. Kavet</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Construction Disruptions –Overhead</p> <p>Operation Disruptions –Overhead</p> <p>Construction Design –Overhead</p> <p>Property Values</p> <p>Local and Regional Jobs</p> <p>Status of DOT review</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Cultural and Historic Resources</u> Patricia O'Donnell</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Impacts to cultural and historical sites</p> <p>Status of Section 106 and DHR Review</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Environmental Panel</u> Michael Lew-Smith</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Impacts to natural resources</p>


Jeff Parsons Michal Amaral Scott Reynolds		<p>Status of DES Review</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Aesthetics Panel</u> Michael Buscher, James Palmer Jeremy Owens</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Impacts to aesthetics</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Market/Economy</u> Samuel Newell</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Market/Energy Benefits</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 1 -SOUTH		
<p><u>Plymouth</u> Sharon Penney</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Impact of construction on local businesses, tourism, and public safety (including emergency response)</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true</i></p>

		<p><i>disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 3-NORTH		
<p><u>New Hampton</u> Barbara Lucas Daniel Moore Kenneth Kettenring Neil Irvin</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Historic Resource Impact, Aesthetics, Environmental Impacts</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
<p><u>Bristol</u> Nicholas Coates</p>	Non-Adverse	<p>Historic Resource Impact, Aesthetics, Environmental Impacts</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i></p>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 3-SOUTH		


Deerfield Andrew Robertson Kate Hartnett	Non-Adverse	Orderly Development, Aesthetics, Visual Impact, Public Safety, Construction Disruptions, Local Economics <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will expand on information contained in pre-filed testimony by addressing relevant developments to project that have occurred since the filing of the pre-filed testimony, respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations, and provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that have been raised by intervenors and applicants in their pre-filed testimony.</i>
SOCIETY FOR THE PROTECTION OF NH FORESTS		
Harry Dodson	Non-Adverse	Visual Impacts <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations</i>
Dean Wilber Kelly O'Brien Normandeau Lore Moran Dodge and Lisa Moran John Conklin	Non-Adverse	Construction Disruption Concerns Operation Disruption Concerns Construction Design Concerns Public Outreach and Communications – By Applicants to Date Visual Impact Concerns

		<p>Property Values and Property Taxes</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
--	--	---

Respectfully submitted,

 10/2/17
 Maureen Quinn on behalf of the Ashland-Deerfield Non-Abutters

I hereby certify that on this date, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named on the Service List of this docket.

 10/2/17
 Maureen Quinn on behalf of the Ashland-Deerfield Non-Abutters